Massive bleaching of coral reefs induced by the 2010 ENSO, Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
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Abstract: El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) has generated global coral massive bleaching. The aim of this work was to evaluate the massive bleaching of coral reefs in Puerto Cabello, Venezuela derived from ENSO 2010. We evaluated the bleaching of reefs at five localities both at three and five meter depth. The coral cover and densities of colonies were estimated. We recorded living coral cover, number and diameter of bleached and non-bleached colonies of each coral species. The colonies were classified according to the proportion of bleached area. Satellite images (Modis Scar) were analyzed for chlorophyll-a concentration and temperature in August, September, October and November from 2008-2010. Precipitation, wind speed and air temperature information was evaluated in meteorological data for 2009 and 2010. A total of 58.3% of colonies, belonging to 11 hexacoral species, were affected and the greatest responses were observed in Colpophyllia natans, Montastraea annularis and Montastraea faveolata. The most affected localities were closer to the mainland and had a bleached proportion up to 62.73±36.55%, with the highest proportion of affected colonies, whereas the farthest locality showed 20.25±14.00% bleached and the smallest proportion. The salinity in situ varied between 30 and 33ppm and high levels of turbidity were observed. According to the satellite images, in 2010 the surface water temperature reached 31ºC in August, September and October, and resulted higher than those registered in 2008 and 2009. Regionally, chlorophyll values were higher in 2010 than in 2008 and 2009. The meteorological data indicated that precipitation in November 2010 was three times higher than in November 2009. Massive coral bleaching occurred due to a three month period of high temperatures followed by one month of intense ENSO-associated precipitation. However, this latter factor was likely the trigger because of the bleaching gradient observed. Rev. Biol. Trop. 60 (2): 527-538. Epub 2012 June 01.
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Coral bleaching has been defined as the loss of photosynthetic Zooxanthellae or their pigments resulting in a white appearance (Williams & Bunkley 1990, Coles & Brown 2003). Some authors have claimed that this response is an adaptive strategy of corals to cope with changing physical-chemical environments (Buddemeier & Fautin 1993). The Zooxanthellae provide energy to the coral and assist with the recycling of nutrients, which allow them to survive in oligotrophic environments in tropical and subtropical zones (Kleypas & Hoegh 2005). Massive bleaching of coral reefs has been reported since 1870 (Glynn 1996), however, these events have increased worldwide in frequency and intensity over the last few decades (Wilkinson 2000, 2008). In Venezuela, there have been few reports of massive bleaching. Lang et al. (1992) reported massive bleaching in the Morrocoy National Park while evidence of it was reported by Villamizar (2003, 2008) in the Archipielago Los Roques National Park and Rodríguez et al. (2010) on four coral reefs on the Venezuelan coast.
El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is an oceanographic phenomenon that has occurred every five to ten years over the last 2000 years (Hoegh 1994) and is characterized by a weakening or reversing of the SE wind field over the Eastern Pacific Ocean. It generates important changes in the ocean (increased surface water temperatures and alterations in marine currents) and climatic patterns (air temperature, local winds) across the entire planet (Hoegh 1994, McPhaden 2004, McClanahan et al. 2007). ENSO has two clearly discernible phases: the warm and the cold phases; the latter has been called “La Niña” by some authors (Hoerling et al. 1997).


MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area: Puerto Cabello is located in the Central-West of the Venezuelan coast and its coral reefs are present on small islands close to Puerto Cabello Harbor. These islands are Isla Ratón (IRA), Isla Santo Domingo (ISD), Isla del Rey (IRE), Isla Alcatraz (IAL) and Isla Larga (ILA) (Fig. 1). Only IAL and ILA belong to Jose Miguel Sanz National Park, which was established in 1987 (Cisneros & Barrientos 2008).

Field work: The field work was conducted in November, 2010. We evaluated all the islands at two depths: three and five meters, located in the fore-reef in all localities. The live coral cover, and bleached and non-bleached colony

Fig. 1. Islands studied of Puerto Cabello, Venezuela: Isla Ratón (IRA), Isla Santo Domingo (ISD), Isla del Rey (IRE), Isla Alcatraz (IAL) and Isla Larga (ILA).
densities were estimated in 15 random quadrats of 1 m² at each depth, totaling an evaluated area of 30 m² in each locality. The diameter of all the colonies was also measured.

All the colonies were categorized according to their proportion of bleached tissue as follows:

1. Healthy: Good coloration of colony without bleached areas,
2. Low-bleached colony: Includes those colonies with bleached areas or bright tones across less than 40% of its total area,
3. Moderately-bleached colony: Colonies with bleached areas or bright tones across 40-70% of its total area and
4. Highly-bleached colony: Consist of those colonies with bleached areas or very bright tones across more than 70% of its total area.

To determine the bleaching prevalence, the relation between the number of affected and total coral colonies per species was estimated.

The intensity of coral bleaching per locality was calculated by dividing the bleached coral cover by the total coral cover at both evaluated depths.

Salinity and surface seawater temperature (SST) were estimated in situ by a Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter (accuracy ±3 ppt and ±0.3°C respectively). Furthermore, we analyzed monthly averaged satellite images (MODIS SCAR, 1 km² spatial resolution) of surface water temperature and chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) concentration, from August-November from 2008-2010 (Klein & Castillo 2011). Chl-a images were used in order to estimate the salinity of the research area due to its negative correlation with this parameter (Fang et al. 2010).

We used information provided by the climatic station of the “Dirección de Hidrografía y Navegación”, Puerto Cabello, to evaluate meteorological factors, specifically: total precipitation (mm), air temperature (°C) and wind velocity (m/s) in August, October, November and December of 2009 and 2010. September data were not available in both years.

ANOVA (Two-ways) and Tukey tests were used to compare prevalence and total live cover of scleractinian corals, as well as species population densities between the study sites and depths. Live cover and prevalence data were normalized using the following formula:

\[ X = \text{Log}(x) + 1 \]

Variance equality (Levene 1960) and normality (Shapiro & Wilks 1965) tests were used in order to verify the data distribution.

**RESULTS**

**Cover and densities of coral colonies:** A high spatial variability was observed in the coral live cover of the sampled localities. The mean values of scleractinian cover at both depths in all the sampled sites are presented in table 1. Statistically, the coral cover of ILA was significantly higher than IRA (p=0.00), ISD (p=0.01) and IRE (p=0.03), and IAL was significantly higher than IRA (p=0.03). Differences between depths were not significant (p=0.48).

We observed 15 scleractinian species in the study area, IAL being the richest (12 species), followed by ILA and IRE (eight species), ISD (six species) and IRA (four species).

The most noteworthy finding was the high cover of *Erythropodium caribaeorum* (35.1±1.2%) and *Palythoa caribaeorum* (7.3±7.8%) at IRA and the high cover values of *C. natans* and *M. annularis* at ILA (21.8±23.8 and 9.8±8.3%) and IRE (5.9±13.4 and 10±21.4%) (Table 2).

**Bleaching:** At all the sampled localities, 93 of 160 scleractinian colonies (58.3%) had experienced different levels of bleaching. However, in terms of live coral cover, 46.3% was completely bleached.

The mean intensity of bleaching was highest at IRA (62.73±36.55%) followed by IRE (44.72±39.36%), ISD (25.53±22.31%), IAL (24.29±17.73%), and finally ILA (20.25±14.00%). However, only IRA differed significantly from the rest of the sampled localities (p<0.05). Most localities had a higher number of bleached scleractinian colonies at 5m depth, except IRA and ILA, where this
number was higher at 3m depth. These differences were not significant (p<0.05).

IRA and IRE had the highest number of moderately and highly-bleached colonies, whereas ILA and IAL exhibited the smallest proportion of highly bleached colonies. ISD and IAL had the major proportion of healthy colonies (Fig. 2).

We observed 11 scleractinian, two hydrocoral (*Millepora alcicornis* y *M. complanata*), one zoanthid (*P. caribaeorum*) and one octocoral (*Erythropodium caribaeorum*) species affected by bleaching. *M. cavernosa* and *M. faveolata* were the most affected scleractinian species; however, it is important to note that from the total of 31 colonies of *C. natans*

### TABLE 1
Colony densities, hard coral live cover, number of species and scleractinian colony diameters
(See text for localities’ codes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Colony density (Col./m²)</th>
<th>Scleractinian live coral cover (%)</th>
<th>Number of scleractinian species</th>
<th>Colony diameter (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRE5M</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>46.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAL3M</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>28.94</td>
<td>66.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAL5M</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>66.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRA3M</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRA5M</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>19.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISD3M</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>32.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISD5M</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>21.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILA3M</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>33.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILA5M</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>56.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2
Cnidarian live cover at five study sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>IRE Average</th>
<th>IRE SD</th>
<th>IAL Average</th>
<th>IAL SD</th>
<th>IRA Average</th>
<th>IRA SD</th>
<th>ISD Average</th>
<th>ISD SD</th>
<th>ILA Average</th>
<th>ILA SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>D. strigosa</em></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. natans</em></td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>23.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. annularis</em></td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>18.44</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. faveolata</em></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. franksi</em></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>20.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. astreoides</em></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. siderea</em></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. agaricites</em></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. fastigiata</em></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. alcicornis</em></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. complanata</em></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. caribaeorum</em></td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>35.05</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. caribaeorum</em></td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
counted, 27 showed different levels of bleaching (87.1%), whereas 31 of 46 colonies of *M. annularis*, demonstrated minor bleaching (Fig. 3).

**Water salinity and temperature:** Surface temperature of the water estimated *in situ* in all the localities was relatively homogeneous oscillating slightly between 28 and 29°C, whereas salinity concentrations were 30ppm in IRE and IRA and 33ppm in ILA, ISD and IAL.

Based on the satellite images, temperature values during August 2008 and 2009 were between 27 and 28°C, however in 2010 they were between 28.5 and 29°C. Conversely, the surface water temperature in September was relatively high, especially in 2008 and 2010, when it oscillated between 28.5 and 30.5°C. October registered the highest temperature in this study at 31°C, in contrast to 2008 and 2009, which registered maximum temperatures of 29°C and 28.0°C, respectively.

---

**Fig. 2.** Proportion of total scleractinian colonies at four levels of bleaching, locality and depth. IRE3M, 21; IRE5M, 8; IAL3M, 23; IAL5M, 10; IRA3M, 10; IRA5M, 4; ISD3M, 16; ISD5M, 6; IAL3M, 42; IAL5M, 16. Normal conditions ■, Low bleaching ■, Moderate bleaching ■, High bleaching □.

**Fig. 3.** Proportion of colonies of species at each level of bleaching. Number of observed colonies: *D. strigosa*, 12; *D. labyrinthiformis* 1; *C. natans*, 32; *M. annularis*, 48; *M. faveolata*, 6; *M. franksi* 6; *M. cavernosa* 2; *P. astreoides*, 31; *S. siderea* 2; *A. agaricites*, 15; *M. decactis*, 3; *E. fastigiata*, 4; *S. intercepta* 1; *M. angulosa*, 1. Normal conditions ■, Low bleaching ■, Moderate bleaching ■, High bleaching □.
In November, the temperature was the lowest in all the evaluated years, being 28ºC in both 2008 and 2009. However, in 2010 a value of 30ºC was observed (Fig. 4).

An evaluation of Chl-a concentrations revealed a pattern of regional increase in 2010 compared with 2008 and 2009. However, in the study area Chl-a concentrations are relatively low and stable with maximum values of 1.5 mg/cm$^3$ in comparison with other coastal zones shown in the images (Fig. 5).

Precipitation in Puerto Cabello harbor in October, November and December were significantly higher in 2010 than in 2009 (p<0.05). A

![Satellite images MODIS SCAR of the Sea Surface Temperature of the Venezuelan central-west coast from August (Aug), September (Sept), October (Oct) and November (Nov) of 2008, 2009 and 2010 (Klein & Castillo 2010). Study area ( ).](image-url)

**Fig. 4.** Satellite images MODIS SCAR of the Sea Surface Temperature of the Venezuelan central-west coast from August (Aug), September (Sept), October (Oct) and November (Nov) of 2008, 2009 and 2010 (Klein & Castillo 2010). Study area ( ).
significant drop of wind intensity (p<0.05) was also observed in 2010. Conversely, significant differences in air temperature between both years were not observed (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Typical features of the ENSO phenomenon were observed in satellite images and climatic data. Data confirmed an important increase in SST (Hoegh 1994), abnormal precipitation pattern (Day & Wigley 2000) and decrease in wind intensity (Taylor et al. 2002) compared with previous years. Although not considered in this study, it is very likely that a synergy and interaction of these factors affected the coral reefs in the study area. It is important to note that changes in temperature and salinity have been reported as factors which induced bleaching separately. However, in combination, they are likely more damaging than the sum of their individual effects.

**Fig. 5.** Satellite MODIS SCAR of the chlorophyll concentration (mg/cm$^3$) of the Central Venezuelan coast from August (Aug), September (Sept), October (Oct) and November (Nov) of 2008, 2009 and 2010 (Klein & Castillo 2010). Study area ( ).
Villamizar et al. (2008) indicated that bleaching is considered severe when over 50% of colonies are affected. Based on that criterion, the bleaching recorded in the study area could be categorized as severe. In fact, the level of bleaching was much more prevalent in comparison with previously reported bleaching in Venezuelan coral reefs by Lang et al. (1992) in Morrocoy National Park (MNP) (4.1%), Rodríguez et al. (2010) in four coral reefs on the Venezuelan coast (18%), Villamizar et al. (2008) in Los Roques National Park (LRNP) (9.9%) and Rodríguez et al. (2008) in MNP (26.5%) and LRNP (3.7%).

Intensity of bleaching from the ENSO warming showed an important spatial heterogeneity in the study area, with a clear decreasing gradient from the continent, being the closest coral reefs the most affected (IRA and IRE). Although in situ salinity values oscillated within the tolerance range for corals (25 and 40ppm; Edmondson 1928, Nyawira et al. 1987), it is likely that the crucial trigger was a drop in salinity caused by a great volume of continental fluvial water from the very large amount of precipitation that occurred in November. Such reductions in salinity were previously reported by Delcroix et al. (1998) during the ENSO 1997-1998.

Chlorophyll concentration patterns were not clearly detected locally. This is likely due to the image scale and the flow of the rivers in the study area or nearby zones (Burro sin cabeza and Goaigoaza Rivers) were not large enough (pers. observ.) to be detected with the available images. However, there was a clear increase in Chl-a concentration regionally, indicating that there was an important effect from ENSO on the Venezuelan coast.

Westmacott et al. (2000) and Ouillon et al. (2005) reported that large amounts of precipitation and low salinity are possibly the consequence of the “cold phase” of ENSO, known as “La Niña” and Glynn (1991) asserted that a decrease in salinity concentration is the second most common trigger for coral reef bleaching.

Increases in temperature could also have provoked the massive bleaching. Satellite images showed that in August, September and October 2010, the average SST value was 31ºC, which was greater than in previous years (2008 and 2009), and more than the maximum healthy temperature for coral reefs (29.5ºC). Such surface sea temperature increases are typical of ENSO (Glynn 1991) and it has been remarked that between 1991 and 1998, almost half of the massive coral bleaching reported were caused by ENSO 1997-1998 (Glynn 2000). Done et al. (2003) pointed out that a key factor which produces bleaching of coral reefs is their number of exposure days to high temperatures. They claimed that exposure to temperatures over...
30°C for 20-40 days would lead to massive bleaching. According to satellite images, coral reefs in the study area were exposed to 31°C temperatures for approximately 90 days.

Therefore, this three month period of increased temperature could have been a key factor in the widespread bleaching of the Puerto Cabello coral reefs, or at least, an important element resulting in these coral reefs being more vulnerable to lower salinity concentrations in November. Increased SST and duration observed have caused extensive bleaching in Australia and Panama (Jones et al. 1997, Glynn 1990).

Villamizar et al. (2008) and Rodríguez et al. (2008) indicated that coral reefs were most bleached in November, despite the fact that SST was lower than in September and October. Villamizar et al. (2008) explained that the bleaching happened possibly because coral colonies suffered an accumulated stress. This was due to their exposure to high SST in the previous months. Jokiel & Coles (1977) pointed out that when a simultaneous increase in SST and decrease in salinity occur, the survival abilities of coral colonies are significantly reduced.

High turbidity observed in all the reefs, especially those closest to the continent (IRE and IRA), was most likely because of the high precipitation recorded. This could be an important factor in the prevalence of differences in bleaching between both evaluated depths. The quantity and quality of light or photosynthetically active radiation at five meters is lower than at three meters, which could lead to a greater loss of Symbiodinium algae thereby increasing the bleaching event. Baker (2003) asserted that shallower corals have a greater diversity of Symbiodinium than deeper corals, and in turn, helps them to resist major variation in environmental conditions, and as a result they are less vulnerable to bleaching events.

Differential effects on coral species: Important interspecific differences were observed in the effects of bleaching on scleractinian corals in the study area. Based on the number of evaluated colonies and prevalence and intensity of bleached colonies, a hierarchical order was noted, which was, in decreasing order: C. natans, M. faveolata, M. cavernosa, M. franksi, M. annularis, A. agaricites, D. strigosa and P. astreoides. In contrast, D. labyrinthiformis, E. fastigiata, T. aurea and S. intercepta were apparently not affected by the event. However, few colonies of these species were evaluated due to their low abundance. Therefore, vulnerability could be categorized according to genus in the following order: Colpophyllia, Montastraea, Agaricia, Diploria and Porites. This result partially coincides with Villamizar et al. (2008) who indicated that the most affected scleractinian species was D. labyrinthiformis followed by M. annularis, M. faveolata and P. astreoides (considering only the species investigated in this study). Conversely, Rodríguez et al. (2008) found that the species most affected by bleaching were M. franksi and M. faveolata.

Trench & Blank (1987) and Fitt & Warner (1995) pointed out that interspecific differences in bleaching tolerance are related to physiological dissimilarities associated with zooxanthellae. LaJeunesse (2002) and Baker (2003) remarked that there is an important variability in Symbiodinium clades, which defines the vulnerability of coral species in presence of bleaching factors. For example, the more Symbiodinium clade richness a coral species has, the more tolerant it will be when facing adverse conditions (Baker 2003).

Baker (2003) indicated that M. annularis and M. faveolata contain up to four clades: A, B, C and D, whose presence varies according to depth (A, B and D present to 6m; C from 3-14m). Conversely, clade D is predominant in M. franksi and B and C in C. natans. Moreover, Glynne et al. (2001) and Baker (2003) asserted that the clade D is the most tolerant to temperature variations and Gates & Edmunds (1999) pointed out that clades A and B are less vulnerable to bleaching factors than clade C.

Baker (2003) claimed that the Montastraea genus is relatively flexible in presence of environmental changes in comparison with other genera. This argument might explain the
reason why bleaching of *C. natans* was higher than *Montastraea* species. However, the aforementioned tolerances are only related to temperature changes, and not to salinity variability. Therefore, the vulnerability of the clades may change before the incidence of this factor.

Importantly, most of the evaluated colonies showed severe bleaching (over 70%), of which a high proportion were completely bleached. This makes the recovery of the affected reefs difficult and could lead to massive mortality, especially in IRA and IRE. Whelan *et al.* (2007) asserted that completely bleached colonies of *C. natans* and *Porites porites* presented a larger mortality percentage affecting importantly the coral cover in evaluated reefs, and Rogers (1990) and Trench & Blank (1987) pointed out that the bleached tissue of *M. annularis* dies more frequently than the bleached tissue of other species.

In conclusion, it is very likely that bleaching in Puerto Cabello coral reefs was caused by the synergetic effects of increases in SST and decreases in salinity, as a consequence of the ENSO phenomenon. However, the lower salinity could have been the main trigger of this event whilst the SST could have contributed to a more vulnerable physical environment. In relation to bleached scleractinian species, it is evident that structural species such as *C. natans* and *M. annularis* were the most affected. Therefore, recovery of these species is fundamental to the persistence and resilience of the coral reefs of Puerto Cabello.
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